	M9N8NEWP
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. S1 20 Cr. 351 (SHS)
5	ROBERT NEWLAND,
6	Defendant. Arraignment/Plea
7	x
8	New York, N.Y. September 23, 2022 11:00 a.m.
10	Before:
11	HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN,
12	District Judge
13	APPEARANCES
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DAMIAN WILLIAMS United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York BY: CECILIA E. VOGEL JESSICA K. FEINSTEIN Assistant United States Attorneys DECHERT LLP Attorneys for Defendant BY: ROGER A. BURLINGAME DAVID N. KELLEY Also present: TAELOR NISBETH, Pretrial Services

1	(In open court; case called)
2	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your names
3	for the record.
4	MS. VOGEL: Good morning, your Honor. Cecilia Vogel
5	for the government. And I am joined by my colleague, Jessica
6	Feinstein.
7	THE COURT: Good morning.
8	MR. BURLINGAME: Good morning, your Honor. Roger
9	Burlingame and David Kelley for Mr. Newland.
10	MR. KELLEY: Good morning, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Good morning.
12	And Probation Officer Nisbeth is present as well.
13	Welcome.
14	MS. NISBETH: Good morning. Taelor Nisbeth, Pretrial
15	Services.
16	THE COURT: This is our first meeting in connection
17	with this case. I have before me S1. Let me take a look at
18	it.
19	Government, why don't you tell me a little bit about
20	the case.
21	MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.
22	Mr. Newland was indicted last year in connection
23	THE COURT: Let's get the microphones on, please.
24	MS. VOGEL: Mr. Newland was indicted last year in
25	connection with this case. He was a co-conspirator of Inigo

Philbrick, who has already pled guilty and whom you have sentenced. He was a financial adviser to Mr. Philbrick, and Mr. Newland is charged in two counts. Count One is wire fraud and Count Two is conspiracy to commit wire fraud. And the indictment was unsealed as to Mr. Newland before Inigo Philbrick was sentenced, and by that time Mr. Newland had been arrested in the United Kingdom. That was, I believe, in February of this year.

He has since consented to extradition. He arrived yesterday evening on extradition, and he is here now to be presented and arraigned, and we anticipate that he will enter a guilty plea pursuant to a plea agreement.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Burlingame, was that your intention today, to have your client enter a plea of guilty?

MR. BURLINGAME: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: To Count Two of the superseding indictment?

MR. BURLINGAME: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Because this is the first time we have met in connection with Mr. Newland, I wish to inform you that pursuant to Rule 5(f) of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, I have already entered a written order confirming the government's disclosure obligations under *Brady* and its progeny and the possible consequences of violating that order.

I do order the government today to comply with its disclosure obligations under *Brady* and its progeny. I direct the government attorneys to read the 5(f) order that has been entered in this case with care, and the government must comply with it. If the government fails to comply with the 5(f) order, certain consequences may follow, including the imposition of sanctions and any other consequence that is just under the circumstances.

Given the fact that the defense attorney has stated that the defendant wishes to plead guilty, I am going to ask Mr. Newland to stand.

Ms. Blakely, if you will administer the oath.

(Defendant sworn)

THE DEPUTY CLERK: State your full name and spell your last name for the record.

THE DEFENDANT: Geoffrey Robert Newland, $\label{eq:condition} {\tt N-E-W-L-A-N-D}.$

THE COURT: Mr. Newland, do you understand that you are now under oath and that if you answer any of my questions falsely, your false or untrue answers may later be used against you in another prosecution for perjury or for making a false statement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: How old are you, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: 44.

1	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
2	THE DEFENDANT: To master's level.
3	THE COURT: What is the equivalent? Did you do four
4	years of university?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Five, sir.
6	THE COURT: So you have what in the United States
7	would be a bachelor's degree and a master's degree?
8	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Are you able to read, write, speak, and understand
11	English?
12	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
13	THE COURT: English is your native language, correct?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Are you now or have you recently been
16	under the care of a doctor or a psychiatrist?
17	THE DEFENDANT: No.
18	THE COURT: Have you ever been treated or hospitalized
19	for any mental illness or any type of addiction, including drug
20	or alcohol addiction?
21	THE DEFENDANT: No.
22	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, sir, have you taken
23	any drugs, medicine, or pills, or consumed any alcohol?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No.
25	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Are you feeling all right?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
4	THE COURT: Do you have an attorney here?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
6	THE COURT: Who are those attorneys?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Roger Burlingame and David Kelley.
8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Mr. Burlingame, do you have any doubt as to Mr.
10	Newland's competence to plead at this time?
11	MR. BURLINGAME: No, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: Mr. Kelley?
13	MR. KELLEY: No, your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Now, Mr. Newland, you have heard Mr.
15	Burlingame tell me that you wish to enter a plea of guilty
16	today. Is that true, do you wish to enter a plea of guilty?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Have you had a full opportunity to discuss
19	your case with your attorneys and to discuss the consequence of
20	entering a plea of guilty?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
22	THE COURT: Are you satisfied with your attorneys and
23	their representation of you?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Newland's responses to

my questions and my observations of his demeanor as he stands here before me, in the first time that I have had an opportunity to observe him, I do make the finding that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea at this time.

Before I accept a plea from you, Mr. Newland, I am going to be asking you a series of questions. Those questions are intended to satisfy me that you wish to plead guilty because you are guilty and that you fully understand the consequences of entering a plea of guilty.

I am going to be describing to you certain rights that you have under the Constitution and laws of the United States. You are going to be giving up those rights if you enter a plea of guilty before me today. So I need you to listen to me carefully, and if you do not understand anything I am saying to you, or anything I am asking you, or anything I am describing to you, please stop me. Either of your attorneys will be able to answer any questions you have, and I am here as well, you can ask me any question you want as well. My concern is to make certain that you understand what I am saying to you and that you understand what I am asking you.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Under the Constitution and laws of the United States, Mr. Newland, you have a right to a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges against you, which are

1	contained in indictment S1 20 Cr. 351.
2	Do you understand those rights?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would be
5	presumed innocent and the government would be required to prove
6	you guilty by competent evidence and beyond a reasonable doubt.
7	You would not have to prove you were innocent at a trial.
8	Do you understand those rights?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: If there were a trial, a jury composed of
11	12 people, selected from the Southern District of New York,
12	would have to agree unanimously that you were guilty.
13	Do you understand those rights?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: You have the right to be represented by an
16	attorney at trial and at every other stage of the proceedings,
17	and if you cannot afford an attorney, one will be given to you
18	at no cost to you.
19	Do you understand those rights?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have a
22	right to see and hear all of the witnesses against you and your
23	attorney could cross-examine them; you would have a right to
24	have your attorney object to the government's evidence and

offer evidence on your own behalf, if you so desire; and you

23

24

25

would have a right to have subpoenas issued or other compulsory 1 2 process used to compel witnesses to testify in your defense. 3 Do you understand those rights, sir? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: If there were a trial, you would have the 5 6 right to testify, if you wanted to, but no one could force you 7 to testify if you did not want to. In addition, no inference 8 or suggestion of guilt could be drawn if you decided not to 9 testify at trial. 10 Do you understand those rights? 11 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 12 THE COURT: Do you understand that by entering a plea 13 of quilty today, Mr. Newland, you are giving up every one of 14 the rights I have listed now, you are waiving those rights, and 15 that you will not have a trial in this action? 16 Do you understand that? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have the right 19 to change your mind right now and refuse to enter a plea of 20 quilty? You do not have to enter this plea of quilty if you do 21 not want to for any reason whatsoever. 22 Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Have you received a copy of superseding indictment S1 20 Cr. 351, sir?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: Did you read it?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
4	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
6	THE COURT: You have the right to have me read that
7	indictment right now in open court. But if you don't want me
8	to, I won't. What is your pleasure?
9	MR. BURLINGAME: We will waive the public reading,
10	Judge.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Newland, your attorney has told me
12	that you don't wish to have me read it in open court. Is that
13	true?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: I accept as a knowing and voluntary waiver
16	of the right to have the indictment read in open court.
17	Do you understand that you are charged in Count Two
18	with conspiracy to commit wire fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C.
19	1349?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Do you understand that if you did not
22	plead guilty, the government would have to prove every part or
23	element of Count Two beyond a reasonable doubt at trial?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Ms. Feinstein, why don't you tell the

defendant what the elements are of conspiracy to commit wire fraud.

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.

To prove the defendant guilty of Count Two, the government would have to prove the following elements beyond a reasonable doubt:

First, two or more persons, in some way or manner, agreed to try to accomplish a common and unlawful plan to commit wire fraud, as charged in the indictment;

Second, the defendant knew the unlawful purpose of the plan and willfully joined in it.

The elements of wire fraud are:

- 1. The defendant willfully participated in a scheme to defraud or to obtain money or property by materially false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises with knowledge of its fraudulent nature;
- 2. The defendant acted with the intent to defraud;
- 3. In advancing, furthering, or carrying out the scheme, the defendant transmitted, or caused to be transmitted, any writing, signal, or sound by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce, or caused a transmission of any writing, signal, or sound of some kind by means of a wire, radio, or television communication in interstate commerce.

2.2

The government would also have to prove venue is proper in the Southern District of New York by a preponderance of the evidence.

THE COURT: Thank you.

With the exception of the venue requirement, sir, do you understand what the elements of this crime are that have to be proven beyond a reasonable doubt?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the venue requirement only has to be proven by the government by a preponderance of the evidence?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that the maximum possible penalty for Count Two is 20 years in prison, plus a maximum term of supervised release of three years, plus a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to individuals other than you resulting from the offense, plus a \$100 mandatory special assessment?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, when I was listing the various parts of the maximum possible sentence, I said that the maximum term of supervised release was three years.

Do you understand that supervised release means you
are going to be subject to monitoring upon your release from
prison, and that monitoring will be under terms and conditions
that could lead to your reimprisonment without a jury trial for
all or part of the term of supervised release and without
credit for time previously served on post-release supervision
if you violate any term or condition of supervised release?
Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you also understand that as part of
your sentence, I can order restitution to anyone injured as a
result of your criminal conduct?
Do you understand that?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you understand that if I accept your
guilty plea and determine you to be guilty, that determination
may deprive you of such valuable civil rights as the right to
vote, the right to hold office, the right to serve on a jury,
and the right to possess any kind of firearm?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: I gather you are a citizen of the United
Kingdom, is that correct?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
THE COURT: Do you also understand, therefore, that
you may be subject to deportation by the Bureau of Immigration

and Customs Enforcement of the Department of Homeland Security? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 3 THE COURT: Did you discuss with your attorneys the 4 fact that you may be deported as a result of this guilty plea? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 6 THE COURT: Did your attorney inform you that it's 7 almost certain or it's very likely that you are going to be deported as a result of this guilty plea? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Under current law, there are sentencing 11 guidelines that judges must utilize in applying the factors, 12 set forth in 18 U.S.C. 3553(a), to determine what a fair and 13 reasonable and appropriate sentence is, and one that is 14 sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to meet the ends of 15 the criminal justice system. 16 Have you talked to your attorneys about the sentencing 17 quidelines and how they work? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 19 THE COURT: Do you understand I won't be able to 20 determine what the relevant quideline range is until after I 21 receive a presentence report that's completed by the probation 22 office? 23 Do you understand that? 24 Yes, sir. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: And you and your attorneys and the

government will all have an opportunity to object to the findings of fact in that presentence report, in front of me, and if there are objections, I would then adjudicate those objections.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, at some point the probation department is going to interview you. Your attorney will have a right to be there. They are going to use the information in that interview in connection with the drafting of their report to me. I need you to give the probation department accurate and complete information.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Now, after I receive that report and after I have received submissions from you and your attorneys and the government, as I say, I then determine what the appropriate guideline range is. But even after I determine what the appropriate guideline range is, Mr. Newland, I then have the ability to impose a sentence either higher or lower than that called for by the guidelines, based on a departure from the guidelines.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Even after I do that, I then must apply

all of the factors that are set forth in a statute known as 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a) to determine what a reasonable and fair and appropriate sentence is.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You need to understand that if you're sentenced to prison, the system of parole that used to exist in the United States in the federal criminal justice system has been abolished. Therefore, if you're sentenced to prison, you will serve that term in prison, you will not be released any earlier on the basis of parole.

Do you understand that, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to estimate or predict what your sentence will be, that their estimation or prediction could be wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: No one can tell you what your sentence is going to be, or even what it's likely to be, because I am the one who is going to sentence you, and I don't know what your sentence is going to be because, as of now, sir, I don't know any of the facts here underlying this complaint against you specifically. So if I don't know what your sentence is going to be, no one else can know at this point.

1	Do you understand that?
2	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
3	THE COURT: And if you are sentenced to a period of
4	time of incarceration or other aspects of your sentence that
5	you don't expect or that are different from what anyone has
6	told you they are going to be, or if they are different from
7	what you, yourself, expect, you still are going to be bound to
8	this guilty plea, sir, and you won't be allowed to withdraw it
9	Do you understand that?
10	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
11	THE COURT: Now, I have a document here. It's dated
12	September 22. It's six pages long. It's addressed to Mr.
13	Burlingame. It's signed by Ms. Vogel. I am going to mark it
14	as Government Exhibit No. 1. I am going to ask my deputy to
15	show you the last page.
16	Is that your signature on the last page, Mr. Newland?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Did you read that agreement before you
19	signed it?
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
21	THE COURT: Did you discuss it with your attorneys
22	before you signed it?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
24	THE COURT: Did you understand it completely at the
25	time you signed it?

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Have the attorneys answered any questions 3 you may have had about that agreement before you signed it? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Does this agreement, Government Exhibit 6 No. 1, constitute your complete and total understanding of the 7 entire agreement between the government, your attorneys, and 8 yourself? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Is everything about your plea and sentence 11 contained in this agreement? Are there any side deals that I 12 should know about?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Has anyone offered you any inducements or threatened you or forced you to plead guilty or to enter into the plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No, sir.

THE COURT: Do you understand that in this plea agreement, sir, you have admitted the forfeiture allegation with respect to Count Two and have agreed to forfeit to the United States a sum of money equal to \$76,000, and all right, title, and interest that you have in the specific property of Personal Distance A, Untitled 2016 painting, Untitled 2007 print, and a Jean Prouvé desk?

Do you understand that?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
2	THE COURT: I have in front of me another document
3	entitled, "Consent Preliminary Order of Forfeiture as to
4	Specific Property/Money Judgment."
5	Have you signed that document as well?
6	I can have my deputy hand it down to you.
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
8	THE COURT: I will have her show it to you.
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, you have agreed to that?
11	MR. BURLINGAME: Yes, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: I am going to sign that order.
13	I have now signed the consent preliminary order of
14	forfeiture.
15	Do you understand that in Government Exhibit No. 1,
16	that is the plea agreement, I am going back to that, you have
17	agreed to make restitution in the amount ordered by the court?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Do you understand that in this document,
20	that is what I am calling the plea agreement, Government
21	Exhibit No. 1, you have stipulated, sir, that the guideline
22	range is 121 to 151 months?
23	Do you understand that?
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Do you also understand that this is simply

23

24

25

an agreement between the parties and that the actual guideline 1 range is something decided upon by me, not by the parties? 2 3 Do you understand that? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: Do you understand that in this agreement, 5 6 you have agreed not to file a direct appeal and you have agreed 7 not to bring a collateral challenge to the sentence if I sentenced you to 151 months in prison or fewer months? 8 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Do you understand that in this plea 11 agreement, you have said that you recognize that your quilty 12 plea and conviction make it "very likely" that your removal 13 from the United States is presumptively mandatory, and that at 14 a minimum, you're at risk of being removed or suffering other 15 adverse immigration consequences? Do you understand that? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: In this agreement, you acknowledge that 18 19 you discussed the possible immigration consequences, including 20 removal from the United States, from your quilty plea and 21 conviction, with your defense counsel?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Did you, in fact, discuss the possible immigration consequences, including removal from the United States, with your defense counsel?

24

25

1 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: Do you understand that in this agreement, 3 you agreed that you will have no right to withdraw your quilty 4 plea based on any actual or perceived adverse immigration 5 consequences, including removal, resulting from a quilty plea 6 and conviction? 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Do you understand that in this plea 9 agreement, you have agreed that you will not challenge your 10 conviction or sentence, either on direct appeal or through 11 litigation under collateral attack, on the basis of any actual 12 or perceived adverse immigration consequence, including 13 removal, that results from this quilty plea? 14 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: Do you understand that you have waived any defense based on the statute of limitations? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 18 THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial and know of any reason why 19 20 Mr. Newland should not be permitted to plead quilty? 21 MR. BURLINGAME: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: In your view, sir, is there an adequate 23 factual basis underlying Mr. Newland's plea today?

THE COURT: Ms. Feinstein, in the view of the

MR. BURLINGAME: There is, Judge.

government, is there an adequate factual basis underlying this defendant's plea today?

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.

Just to be clear, I am Ms. Vogel.

THE COURT: That's the second or third time I called you Ms. Feinstein. I apologize.

MS. VOGEL: That's fine, your Honor. I just wanted to make sure it was clear. We have a similar haircut.

THE COURT: I do apologize. There is a theory that all assistant U.S. attorneys are interchangeable, but at some point they are not. You are Ms. Vogel.

Mr. Newland, tell me now what you did that makes you quilty of Count Two.

I see you are about to read from something. It is perfectly fine with me. I assume your attorney helped you with that, which is all right as well. But I do need you to tell me that what you are about to read is in fact true. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: It is.

THE COURT: Please read slowly.

THE DEFENDANT: Between 2016 and 2019, I worked for Inigo Philbrick. I agreed with him and others to tell lies in order to sell works of art and secure loans on art, as well as to avoid making payments owed to clients, and to conceal our fraud. These included lies about the ownership status of the

true price paid for numerous artworks. During the course of this conspiracy, and to further our fraud, I sent e-mails and spoke on the phone to a fraud victim located in Manhattan.

THE COURT: To a what victim?

THE DEFENDANT: To a fraud victim.

THE COURT: A fraud victim located in Manhattan. Thank you.

When you did these acts, sir, did you know that what you were doing was wrong and illegal?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Ms. Vogel, why don't you summarize the evidence that the government has.

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.

Evidence at trial would include testimony from the victims of this fraud; e-mail and text message communications both from this defendant, Mr. Newland, and Inigo Philbrick, between each other and with various fraud victims; invoices, purchase contracts, and loan agreements regarding artworks; and bank records regarding the financial transactions for these artworks.

THE COURT: Mr. Newland, how do you now plead to the charge in Count Two of indictment S1 20 Cr. 351, guilty or not guilty, sir?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty because you are

The

25

```
1
      quilty, sir?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 3
               THE COURT: Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of
      your own free will?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 5
6
               THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, do you wish anything
 7
      further on the factual allocution?
8
               MR. BURLINGAME: No, your Honor.
9
               THE COURT: Ms. Vogel.
10
               MS. VOGEL:
                          No, your Honor.
11
               THE COURT: Because you acknowledge you are quilty as
12
      charged, because I find you know your rights and are waiving
13
      them knowingly and voluntarily, because I find your plea is
14
      entered knowingly and voluntarily and is supported by an
15
      independent basis in fact containing each of the essential
      elements of the offense, I accept your quilty plea, sir, and I
16
17
      determine you to be quilty of Count Two of indictment S1 20 Cr.
      351.
18
19
               Mr. Newland, let me ask you a question. Why did you
20
      commit this crime?
21
               THE DEFENDANT: Sir, because I had wanted to work with
22
      Inigo Philbrick for some time and this was part of a situation
23
      that he got himself into, and I was working with him to resolve
24
      that, and he told me the best way to help.
```

THE COURT: The best way to? I just didn't hear.

best way to?

THE DEFENDANT: So, we were in a position where we needed to raise money, and this was the way that it had to be done, or the way that we believed it had to be done. So I, together with Inigo, committed these frauds in order to keep the business afloat.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I am going to set the date for sentencing for March 23, 2023, at 4 p.m., in this courtroom.

Let's deal with bail now.

Government.

MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor.

So the parties do have a proposed bail package. In recognition that the defendant has consented to extradition, pled guilty on his first appearance, and based on the government's assessment of his risk of flight, the government is prepared to propose the following bail package.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

Yes, ma'am.

MS. VOGEL: So the proposed bail package includes a \$400,000 bond to be secured by \$200,000 cash. An initial \$80,000 will be deposited with the court before the defendant is permitted to return to the United Kingdom. The remaining \$120,000 of the \$200,000 cash portion of the bond are to be deposited within two weeks of the United Kingdom releasing the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
250,000 pounds that are currently securing the defendant's bond
in the United Kingdom. We are requesting four financially
responsible cosigners, who are the defendant's family members
located in the United Kingdom, and the government has
interviewed those family members already. We are also asking
for surrender of the defendant's --
         THE COURT: And they are acceptable --
        MS. VOGEL:
                    Yes.
        THE COURT: -- as financial cosigners?
        MS. VOGEL: Correct.
        Surrender of the defendant's passport to Pretrial
Services.
         THE COURT: I take it his passport is from the United
Kingdom?
        MS. VOGEL:
                   That's correct.
         THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, does he have any other
travel documents?
        MR. BURLINGAME: No, your Honor.
        THE COURT: Go ahead.
        MS. VOGEL: The passport is currently in the custody
of the FBI. So surrender of the passport to Pretrial Services
until the $80,000 securing the bond has been received by the
court.
```

defendant will surrender his passport to his counsel, who will

Upon the defendant's return to the United Kingdom, the

25

with the court.

keep it in safekeeping. 1 THE COURT: Do you have that, Mr. Burlingame? 2 3 MR. BURLINGAME: Yes. I understand. 4 THE COURT: Do you have his passport now? 5 MR. BURLINGAME: I do not have his passport now. 6 believe the agents do. He came in last night and spent the 7 night at MDC. Thank you. 8 THE COURT: 9 MS. VOGEL: And that travel will be permitted to the 10 United Kingdom, where the defendant resides, and to the 11 Southern and Eastern Districts of New York for purposes of 12 coming here for the case. 13 We ask for supervision by Pretrial Services as 14 directed. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, that's all agreed upon? 16 MR. BURLINGAME: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I hereby order it with the additional 18 condition that the four financially responsible cosigners have signed the bond prior to Mr. Newland's return to the United 19 20 Kingdom. 21 MS. VOGEL: And, your Honor, we would ask that the 22 defendant be permitted to be released today on his signature 23 and with the surrender of his passport to pretrial. And my

understanding is that the \$80,000 will be deposited shortly

THE COURT: So you're asking for his release prior to 1 the deposit of the \$80,000 and to any other security; is that 2 3 what you're doing? 4 MS. VOGEL: Yes, your Honor. My understanding is that 5 defense counsel has the certified check that is ready to be deposited with the court. It couldn't be deposited in advance 6 7 and it takes a little bit of time for that to be processed. THE COURT: Mr. Burlingame, you were holding up the 8 9 envelope. 10 MR. BURLINGAME: I am. I have the certified check in 11 here. 12 THE COURT: Fine. Then I will allow his release. 13 I take it you are going to go to the clerk of court 14 and deposit that. 15 MR. BURLINGAME: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: All right. I think I have handled 16 17 everything. Is there anything else, government? 18 MS. VOGEL: Your Honor, we would just ask, as the 19 20 arraignment and plea have been rolled all into one proceeding, 21 for formality sake, if the defendant could enter a plea of not 22 quilty as to Count One, as there has been no plea entered 23 either way at this point as to Count One. 24 THE COURT: Just a moment.

MS. VOGEL: The understanding is that upon sentencing,

```
the government will move to dismiss Count One.
1
 2
               THE COURT: What you're telling me, and you're quite
 3
      right, is there has been no plea entered in regard to Count
 4
      One. Is that right?
               MS. VOGEL: That's right.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: So let's do that now.
 7
               Do you want to talk to your client so that he
8
      understands what is about to happen?
9
               MR. BURLINGAME: He understands, your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: Mr. Newland, how do you plead to Count One
11
      of this indictment, guilty or not guilty, sir?
12
               THE DEFENDANT: Not quilty.
13
               THE COURT: I accept your plea of not quilty, Mr.
14
      Newland. Thank you. You may be seated.
15
               Thank you, government.
               Is there anything else?
16
17
               MS. VOGEL: No. Thank you.
               THE COURT: Defense, is there anything else?
18
19
               MR. BURLINGAME: No, your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Mr. Newland, you have heard the conditions
21
      of your release and the fact that you actually are going to be
22
      released at this moment with Mr. Burlingame depositing the
      $80,000 cash and your passport -- where is the passport going
23
24
      to be?
25
               MS. VOGEL: It will be with pretrial. It is currently
```

1	with the FBI case agent.
2	THE COURT: Passport will be held by pretrial.
3	Do you understand that you have to follow all of the
4	conditions of your release while you're released? Do you
5	understand that?
6	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
7	THE COURT: Do you understand that any violation of
8	those conditions can be met with severe consequences?
9	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
10	THE COURT: Do you understand that you're obligated
11	under those conditions to return to this courtroom on March 23,
12	2023, at 4 p.m., or any adjourned date I set for your
13	sentencing?
14	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
15	THE COURT: All right. I expect to see you then on
16	the date of sentencing or any adjourned date I set.
17	If there is nothing else, I think we are finished.
18	Thank you.
19	(Adjourned)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	